full within 21 days, the El Prela Holding Company could petition to wind up KML pursuant to the Bermuda Companies Act.

- 100. The El Prela Holding Company did not follow up on the Statutory Demand. Instead, on 10 August 2011 the Holding Companies each resolved to enter into a unanimous written resolution, purportedly as the members of KML, that KML should be wound up and that Trott & Duncan Limited ("Trott & Duncan") should be instructed to prepare and file the appropriate petition for the winding up of KML, swear an affidavit verifying its contents and take all necessary steps to seek an order appointing a liquidator and/or joint provisional liquidators and/or the Official Receiver. Consequentially, a unanimous shareholders' resolution to that effect was purportedly passed on 10 August 2011 (the "Unanimous Written Resolution") (page 302).
- On 7 September 2011, a winding up petition was issued (the "Petition") (page 304) which was advertised on 14 September 2011. The return date in respect of the Petition was 14 October 2011. In the event, representatives of both Mr Tacon and Mr Picard notified an intention to appear at the hearing. Correspondence was exchanged in advance of it (see pages 311 to 320) culminating in service on behalf of Mr Picard of affidavit evidence on the eve of the hearing itself. (The affidavit and exhibit are not exhibited to this Affidavit but can be made available to the Court upon request). During the course of this correspondence it became clear that the Holding Companies had overlooked the fact that legal ownership of the KML shares lay with HNL. As a consequence, the Unanimous Written Resolution was confirmed and adopted by a written resolution of HNL dated 14 October 2011 (page 321) which ratified the steps taken by Trott & Duncan in relation to the Unanimous Written Resolution.
- In the event, the hearing of the Petition was adjourned on 14 October 2011. A copy of the order is at page 322. Further correspondence has since been exchanged between Trott & Duncan and Mr Picard's advisers (see pages 324 to 331), with the result that Mr Picard and Mr Tacon have confirmed that they do not intend to oppose the Petition.
- While KML has been without directors, it has nevertheless had to continue to address various issues, including the proceedings to which it is a party (namely the Bermuda Proceedings and the Picard Claims) until such time as replacement directors or joint provisional liquidators of KML are appointed. This has been

done by the sole member, HNL, resolving for KML to issue relevant instructions in relation to those proceedings.

At the same time, the Trustees are aware from copy invoices sent to KML's registered agent, that KML continues to incur certain expenses in the form of costs incurred for storage of KML's documents and IT equipment. The most recent such invoices from Island Self Storage, each for US\$175, have been due for payment on 1 June 2011, 1 July 2011, 1 August 2011, 1 September 2011 and 1 October 2011. In light of the fact that KML was unable to pay these invoices; and given that non-payment might have placed disclosable documents at risk of destruction, the Holding Companies made arrangements to pay them.

LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE EL PRELA TRUSTEE

- 105. In addition to the Picard claims, which are explained in more detail at paragraphs 125 and 143 below, the El Prela Trustee has been or is a party to two other legal proceedings. These are:
 - 105.1 A temporary freezing order granted by the Court of First Instance of the Principality of Monaco (the "Monaco Court") over certain accounts of the El Prela Trust and its 100% subsidiaries held in Monaco; and
 - 105.2 The Bermuda Proceedings.
- In addition, there is a consolidated class action complaint that is of potential relevance to the El Prela Trustee but to which it is not a party, filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No. 09 Civ.5386 (BAB) (the "Class Action").
- 107. Further detail of these legal proceedings is given below.

The Monaco Freezing Order and the creation of the Monaco Affidavits

In late 2008, the El Prela Trustee and the El Prela Investment Company held significant cash balances, totalling some US\$55,017,846.34 with HSBC Private Bank (Monaco) SA ("HSBC Monaco"). In early December 2008, concerns began to be raised about the stability of HSBC, as a result of the global crisis in the

financial sector. From mid-December 2008 onwards, there was a sharp fall in HSBC's share price. As a result, following consideration of the issue by telephone with Mr Ceretti, the El Prela Trustee decided to reduce the El Prela Trust's exposure to HSBC by transferring some of the cash balances held with HSBC Monaco to Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie in Geneva, Switzerland ("Lombard Odier"). Accordingly, on 12 December 2008, the El Prela Trustee sent a payment instruction to HSBC Monaco requesting the transfer of the sum of US\$15,000,000 from that El Prela Trust account to an El Prela Trust account held with Lombard Odier (page 332) (the "Payment Instruction").

- To the best of my knowledge, derived from written representations dated 17 December 2008 made by the Service d'Information et de Contrôle Sur les Circuits Financiers of the Principality of Monaco ("SICCFIN") to the Public Prosecutor of the Principality of Monaco (the "Monaco Prosecutor") at page 333 (English translation) and page 347 (original) (the "SICCFIN Report"), the Payment Instruction led HSBC Monaco to make a suspicious transaction report to SICCFIN, following which SICCFIN prepared the SICCFIN Report.
- The immediate consequence of the SICCFIN Report having been transmitted to the Monaco Prosecutor was that, on 19 December 2008, the Monaco Court ordered the immediate attachment of assets held in a number of HSBC Monaco accounts in the name of the El Prela Trustee, the El Prela Holding Company and the El Prela Investment Company, as well as certain HSBC Monaco accounts connected to the Ashby Trust and Mr Ceretti (the "Freezing Order"). This rendered the relevant account of the El Prela Trust at HSBC Monaco and those of its direct 100% subsidiaries inoperable until such time as the Freezing Order was lifted.
- On 19 June 2009 the El Prela Trustee and others interested in the Freezing Order issued an application before the Monaco Court for an order that it be lifted, supported by a number of affidavits and a witness statement (taken together, the "Monaco Affidavits"), copies of which are at pages 363 to 431. A copy of the accompanying exhibit, which runs to two lever arch files, can be made available to the Court upon request.
- 112. Following issue of the application, on 8 July 2009 the Monaco Court ordered the lifting of the Freezing Order (a copy of the resulting order of the Monaco Court is at page 432. An English translation has not been provided, but can be obtained

on request). Subsequently, on 9 July 2009 the Payment Instruction which had been the cause of the suspicious transaction report was re-issued, and the transfer effected. No assets were removed from the El Prela Trust, the El Prela Holding Company or the El Prela Investment Company as a consequence of that transfer.

The Bermuda Proceedings

- On 22 December 2010 by way of a Writ of Summons (page 438), the Kingate Funds commenced the Bermuda Proceedings against the El Prela Trustee and eight other Defendants, being KML, FIM Advisers LLP, FIM Limited, the Ashby Trustee, the Holding Companies, Mr Grosso and Mr Ceretti. The Writ and accompanying Statement of Claim (page 444) were served on the El Prela Trustee via its lawyers in Bermuda on 7 April 2011, following the El Prela Trustee's agreement to facilitate service, subject to first agreeing a timetable that took into account the steps the El Prela Trustee needed to take in light of those proceedings, namely the making of the previous applications for directions referred to at paragraph 20 above (the "Bermuda Directions Applications").
- The El Prela Trustee entered a memorandum of appearance before the Bermuda Court on 13 April 2011.
- 115. The claims made in the Bermuda Proceedings divide into two broad categories:
 - 115.1 Non-fault based claims against KML, the Trustees, the Holding Companies, Mr Grosso and Mr Ceretti (the "Non-Fault Based Claims"); and
 - 115.2 Fault based claims against KML, FIM, Mr Grosso and Mr Ceretti (the "Fault Based Claims").
- 116. The Non-Fault Based Claims, which are the only claims advanced against the Trustees are, in summary, as follows:
 - 116.1 Claims for the recovery from KML, the Trustees, the El Prela Holding Company, and/or the Ashby Holding Company of management fees alleged to have been paid by the Kingate Funds to KML on the basis of a mistake. The claims are brought on the basis of unjust enrichment. It is alleged that the Trustees are liable as ultimate recipients of the fees, and/or that Mr Grosso and Mr Ceretti are liable as ultimate recipients of

- the fees, and/or ultimate beneficial owners of shares in KML. These claims are for US\$254,478,999.69 and 56,226,531.48 Euros or alternatively, the amount of fees paid by the Kingate Funds (and/or their traceable proceeds) which were paid on by KML to the Trustees.
- 116.2 Alternatively, claims for an order that the Trustees pay the Kingate Funds the amount of the fees and/or their traceable proceeds which were paid on by KML to the Trustees, or alternatively, a declaration that the Kingate Funds retain legal title in the allegedly overpaid fees and/or their traceable proceeds.
- 116.3 Alternatively, claims for a declaration that KML, the Trustees, the El Prela Holding Company, the Ashby Holding Company, Mr Grosso and/or Mr Ceretti hold the allegedly overpaid fees, together with their traceable proceedings, on trust for the Kingate Funds, and an order that they account to the Kingate Funds for them.
- The Fault Based Claims, which do not directly concern the Trustees, are based on allegations of breach of tortious duties of care and/or negligent misstatement against KML, FIM, Mr Grosso and Mr Ceretti, and damages for breach of contractual duties of care against KML.

The Class Action

- 118. A copy of the Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint is at page 542. The Plaintiffs to the Class Action are Silvana Worldwide Corp., Criterium Capital Fund, B.V., BBF Trust, BG Valores, S.A., Banca Arner S.A., Alvaro Castillo, Lucien Geldzahler, Jaques Lamac, Nitkey Holdings Corporation and all others similarly situated. Paragraph 14 of the Class Action complaint identifies the Plaintiffs as having "lost their investments in the [Kingate] Funds as of December 10, 2008, and also [having] paid substantial fees that were wrongfully charged based on fictitious investment returns" (page 558).
- The El Prela Trustee is not named as a Defendant to the Class Action, those Defendants being KML, Tremont (Bermuda) Limited, Tremont Group Holdings, Inc., FIM Limited, FIM Advisers LLP, FIM (USA) Incorporated, Mr Grosso, Mr Ceretti, Graham H Cook, John E Epps, Sandra Manzke, Charles D Sebah, Keith R Bish, Christopher Wetherhill and Michael G Tannenbaum. However, the El Prela Trustee recognises that the Class Action plaintiffs may well seek to join it

08-01789-cgm Doc 6433-11 Filed 04/23/14 Entered 04/23/14 19:12:50 Exhibit B - Part 5 Pg 6 of 22

as a Defendant to the Class Action at some point in the future, given that it holds assets deriving from dividends declared payable by KML and calculated by reference to KML's profits, which in turn were derived from management fees calculated by reference to the NAV of the Kingate Funds.

- 120. Given that it is not a party to these proceedings, the El Prela Trustee has not sought substantive legal advice in connection with the complaint.
- 121. However, the El Prela Trustee is aware from information passed to it from its US lawyers that the Class Action complaint was dismissed in its entirety by an order of Judge Batts dated 30 March 2011 (page 701). That order also denied the Plaintiffs leave to re-plead the complaint.
- On 11 April 2011 the Plaintiffs issued a notice of appeal (page 731) stating their intention to appeal the judgment and order of Judge Batts to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.
- On one view, the complaint made by the Class Action is one more properly within the province of Mr Tacon as joint liquidator of the Kingate Funds, who could also take steps to have the complaint struck out.
- However, until such time as Mr Tacon moves to strike out the Class Action complaint, or the appeal against the judgment and order of Judge Batts is finally determined in favour of the Defendants to the Class Action, there remains the possibility that the El Prela Trustee will be joined as a party to it. Naturally, if that occurs, the El Prela Trustee will of necessity have to make a further application to the BVI Court for directions as to how it should proceed with respect to that proceeding.

CLAIMS BY MR PICARD AGAINST THE EL PRELA TRUSTEE

The Third Amended Complaint

On 20 May 2011, Mr Picard filed the Third Amended Complaint (page 1) against the El Prela Trustee and 17 other Defendants, being Mr Ceretti, Mr Grosso, the Kingate Funds, KML, FIM Advisers LLP, FIM Limited, Citi, the Ashby Trust³,

³ The Ashby Trust has no legal personality.

Alpine Trustees, the Ashby Trustee, the El Prela Trust⁴, the Holding Companies, the El Prela Investment Company, Ashby Investment Services Limited (the "Ashby Investment Company", together with the El Prela Investment Company, the "Investment Companies") and Bank of Bermuda. The Holding Companies and the Investment Companies are purportedly joined "individually and as trustees of" the Trusts. I can confirm that neither the Holding Companies nor the Investment Companies have ever acted as trustees of the Trusts.

The Third Amended Complaint was the first time the El Prela Trustee had been named as a Defendant to the Picard US Proceedings, Mr Picard having initially filed those proceedings against the Kingate Funds and Bank of Bermuda on 17 April 2009, as set out above.

Service of the Third Amended Complaint

- As noted above, the El Prela Trustee is a company incorporated and registered in the BVI. On 22 June 2011, the Third Amended Complaint was served on the El Prela Trustee by SCA Creque (special counsel to Mr Picard in the BVI) via Moore Stephens BVI. The accompanying summons and notice of pre-trial conference (page 733) required the El Prela Trustee to submit a motion or answer within 30 days from the date of issue, being 14 July 2011.
- On 14 July 2011, the El Prela Trustee and the other Defendants who had been served in the BVI, namely, the Holding Companies and the Investment Companies (acting by Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP ("Freshfields")) agreed with Mr Picard (acting by Baker & Hostetler LLP) an extension of time (until 18 August 2011) to respond to the Third Amended Complaint, by way of a stipulation dated 14 July 2011. Further extensions, to which the El Prela Trustee was also a party, were agreed on 10 August 2011 and 14 October 2011 the latest of which extended the time to move, answer or otherwise respond until 16 November 2011 (page 735)⁵. By joining in those stipulations, the El Prela Trustee agreed to waive any defence based on insufficiency of service of process, although the El Prela Trustee reserved the right to challenge the jurisdiction of the New York Court.

⁴ The El Prela Trust has no legal personality.

⁵ At the time of finalising this Affidavit, Baker & Hostetler LLP and Freshfields were in discussions regarding a further such extension until 16 February 2012.

The Claims made against the El Prela Trustee in the Third Amended Complaint

- As I have explained above, there are 17 Defendants to the Third Amended Complaint in addition to the El Prela Trustee, which alleges 15 counts upon which Mr Picard seeks judgment, as set out more fully at paragraphs 276 to 359 of the Third Amended Complaint (pages 65 to 83). The claims made divide into three broad categories:
 - 129.1 The return of alleged avoidable and recoverable initial transfers from the Kingate Funds of approximately US\$976 million (US\$438 million in respect of Kingate Global and US\$538 million in respect of Kingate Euro) alleged to have been transferred to those entities by BLMIS (the "Initial Transfer Claims");
 - 129.2 The return of alleged subsequent transfers against the Trustees, KML, FIM Limited, FIM Advisers LLP, the Holding Companies, the Investment Companies, the Trusts⁶, Alpine Trustees, Mr Grosso, Mr Ceretti and Citi (the "Subsequent Transferee Claims"); and
 - 129.3 Common law claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received (the "Common Law Claims").
- The Third Amended Complaint also seeks the disallowance of the Kingate Funds' customer claims in the BLMIS bankruptcy, the equitable subordination of those customer claims (as to the status of which, see paragraph 78.1 above), and, against Bank of Bermuda, a declaratory judgment that funds currently frozen in the Kingate Funds' accounts held with that bank are to be returned to the BLMIS estate.
- 131. The Subsequent Transferee Claims and the Common Law Claims, which are the only claims advanced against the Trustees are, in summary, articulated in the Third Amended Complaint as follows:
 - 131.1 Claims for the recovery of alleged "subsequent transfers" (or the value thereof) alleged to have emanated from BLMIS. Similar claims are made under state law (the New York Debtor and Creditor Law) and under federal law (Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code), as

⁶ As stated above, the Trusts have no legal personality.

- summarised at paragraphs 331 and 337 of the Third Amended Complaint (pages 74 to 75);
- 131.2 Unjust enrichment claims against the Trustees, KML, FIM Limited, FIM Advisers LLP, the Holding Companies, the Investment Companies, the Trusts⁷, Alpine Trustees, Mr Grosso, Mr Ceretti and Citi on the basis that they "have wrongfully and unconscionably benefited from the receipt of [fees] from BLMIS, for which they did not, in good faith, provide fair value", as summarised at paragraphs 347 to 350 of the Third Amended Complaint (pages 77 to 78);
- 131.3 Conversion claims against those same Defendants on the basis that they have "intentionally exercised dominion and control over [BLMIS property] in a matter inconsistent with and in wilful disregard of the solvency of the BLMIS estate", as summarised at paragraphs 351 to 353 of the Third Amended Complaint (page 78); and
- 131.4 Money had and received against all Defendants, on the basis that they "are currently in possession of, or have control over, [BLIMS property, to which they] have no lawful or equitable right..., having obtained the monies through fraud, deceit or mistake", as summarised at paragraphs 354 to 356 of the Third Amended Complaint (pages 78 to 79).
- The El Prela Trustee has sought advice from US Counsel on the appropriate response to the Third Amended Complaint, in order that it can determine the motions it should make with respect to it. The Court is referred to the Confidential Affidavit for details of the resulting advice. The directions sought by the El Prela Trustee as a consequence of that advice are at paragraph 166 below.
- 133. In summary, and as set out in more detail below, the El Prela Trustee has filed a motion to withdraw the reference and proposes to file a motion or motions to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint.

⁷ Ibid.

Motion to withdraw the reference

On 7 and 14 October 2011, certain of the Defendants to the Third Amended Complaint made motions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "District Court") to have certain legal issues heard by the District Court rather than the Bankruptcy Court on the basis that those issues will involve consideration of federal non-bankruptcy law. On 17 October 2011 the El Prela Trustee joined those motions. It is anticipated that the motions will be heard and determined in the coming months. Further information regarding the El Prela Trustee's motion to withdraw the reference is set out in the Confidential Affidavit.

Motion to dismiss

- The El Prela Trustee proposes to make a motion or motions to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint on a number of grounds, further details and a legal opinion on the merits of which are set out in the Confidential Affidavit.
- The form of the motion to dismiss will depend on the outcome of the motion to remove the reference. It may be that the District Court agrees to hear the entire motion to dismiss. However, if the District Court removes the reference only to issues not typically handled by the Bankruptcy Court, the El Prela Trustee may need to make two motions to dismiss: one in the District Court on non-bankruptcy issues, and one in the Bankruptcy Court with regard to all other issues. Further information regarding the El Prela Trustee's motion or motions to dismiss is set out in the Confidential Affidavit.
- The El Prela Trustee understands from US Counsel that the motion to withdraw the reference could be decided relatively quickly. If so, it is possible that the motion or motions to dismiss will be filed prior to the hearing of this application. It is anticipated that a supplemental affidavit will be sworn in advance of the hearing of this application in order to update the Court as to the current position.

Settlement discussions between Mr Picard and Mr Tacon

Given the nature of the claims articulated in both the Picard Claims and the Bermuda Proceedings, the El Prela Trustee is currently facing claims to the same funds held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust from competing claimants (the Kingate Funds and Mr Picard) arising out of the same facts. It is therefore

- relevant to set out my understanding of the discussions between those competing claimants.
- As set out above, according to the Fifth Creditors' Reports, Mr Tacon has permission from the BVI Court to enter into a settlement agreement with Mr Picard provided that settlement is consistent with the substance of the draft agreement considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 (the "Draft Agreement").
- The Draft Agreement is contained at appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third Report to Creditors and Investors dated 31 July 2009 for each of the Kingate Funds (at pages 757 and 785 respectively).
- 141. The principal terms of the Draft Agreement are that:
 - 141.1 Each of the Kingate Funds will settle with Mr Picard on identical terms;
 - 141.2 The Kingate Funds will pay to BLMIS 50% of their assets (as at the date of settlement), after providing for all the costs of the respective liquidations that remain accrued but unpaid;
 - 141.3 The Kingate Funds will pay BLMIS 50% of any additional recoveries, including any successful claims against third parties;
 - 141.4 The Kingate Funds will agree with Mr Picard a mechanism for determining who is in the best position to prosecute each potential thirdparty claim (including, presumably, the Bermuda Proceedings and the Picard Claims);
 - 141.5 The Kingate Funds will be entitled to a fully allowed claim in the liquidation of BLMIS, with any dividends payable to the Kingate Funds from the liquidation of BLMIS being retained by Mr Picard until he has recovered 85% of his preference claim against the Kingate Funds;
 - 141.6 Thereafter, 50% of any dividend payable to the Kingate Funds will be retained until Mr Picard has recovered 35% of his fraudulent preference claim;

- 141.7 Any payments from the Kingate Funds to Mr Picard will be added to the Kingate Funds' claims against BLMIS for the purpose of calculating their dividends from the BLMIS estate; and
- 141.8 Mr Picard will not prove as a creditor in the liquidations of the Kingate Funds.
- I understand from an update to creditors and investors of Kingate Global dated 16 September 2011 (page 795) that, at that time, settlement discussions between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard had advanced "significantly", although a negotiated settlement had not yet been agreed. I understand from LG that recent decisions against Mr Picard in the US Courts may have had an impact on those settlement discussions.

The English Claim

A copy of the Claim Form issued by Mr Picard is at page 796. Besides the El Prela Trustee, the other Defendants reflect the Defendants to the Third Amended Complaint, save that the Kingate Funds, Citi and Bank of Bermuda are not Defendants to the English Claim, and the Third Amended Complaint names the Ashby Trust and El Prela Trust, which are not legal entities.

Service of the English Claim

- On 11 March 2011, the English Court granted Mr Picard an extension until 7 October 2011 in which to serve the Claim Form and Particulars of Claim on the El Prela Trustee (page 800).
- On 22 August 2011, Mr Picard issued an application to serve the English Claim out of the jurisdiction. That application was granted by order of Mr Justice Blair dated 1 September 2011 (page 801).
- On 13 September 2011, the English Claim was served in the BVI on the Et Prela Trustee, the El Prela Holding Company and the El Prela Investment Company.

Claims made against the El Prela Trustee in the English Claim

- 147. The claims articulated in the Particulars of Claim are as follows:
 - 147.1 A declaration pursuant to English and US law that the US\$975,541,729 alleged to have been received by the Kingate Funds from BLMIS in the

- period before Madoff's arrest (the "Transfers") (or part thereof) and/or their traceable proceeds (or part of the proceeds) were held on constructive trust for Mr Picard;
- 147.2 An account and/or enquiry as to the whereabouts of the Transfers (or part thereof) and/or their traceable proceeds (or part of the proceeds);
- 147.3 An order that the Transfers be voided and the Transfers (or part thereof) and/or their traceable proceeds (or part of the proceeds) be returned to BLMIS;
- 147.4 Further or alternatively, damages or equitable compensation for knowing receipt in breach of trust;
- 147.5 Further or alternatively, a contribution and/or indemnity at common law or under the Civil Liability (Contribution) Act 1978;
- 147.6 An order for a contribution under section 213 of the Insolvency Act 1986, pursuant to the Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006, or at common law;
- 147.7 Such further or other relief as the Court thinks fit;
- 147.8 Interest; and
- 147.9 Costs.
- The El Prela Trustee has sought advice from English Leading and Junior Counsel with respect to the English Claim. The Court is referred to the Confidential Affidavit for further details.

The "stay" of the English Claim

On 22 August 2011, Mr Picard issued an application to stay the whole of the English Claim (see the application notice, draft order and witness statement of Laurence Lieberman at pages 803 to 816). The exhibit to Mr Lieberman's witness statement is not exhibited to this Affidavit, but can be made available to the Court upon request). So far as the El Prela Trustee is aware, that application has not yet been listed for hearing.

08-01789-cgm Doc 6433-11 Filed 04/23/14 Entered 04/23/14 19:12:50 Exhibit B - Part 5 Pg 14 of 22

- 150. On 7 October 2011 LG wrote to Taylor Wessing LLP seeking an extension of time for the El Prela Trustee to file its acknowledgment of service until the later of 14 November 2011 or the date falling seven days prior to the hearing of Mr Picard's stay application (the "Acknowledgment Date") (page 817).
- 151. On 11 October 2011, Taylor Wessing LLP wrote to LG agreeing the proposals with respect to the Acknowledgment Date subject to receiving certain confirmations (page 819), which LG provided by letter the same day (page 820).

THE EL PRELA TRUSTEE'S APPROACH TO SEEKING REPRESENTATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PRESENT APPLICATION

The El Prela Trustee has consulted with Mr Picard and Mr Ceretti and others in connection with this application. Its approach to consultation is explained below.

Mr Picard

- On 12 August 2011 LG wrote to Baker & Hostetler LLP (Mr Picard's lawyers in New York) to explain the El Prela Trustee's intention to make this application (page 822). While it was too early precisely to define the directions which the El Prela Trustee proposed to seek, the letter confirmed it was likely that the El Prela Trustee would ask the BVI Court to issue directions that it should file a motion to dismiss the Third Amended Complaint and, in the alternative, to stay litigation concerning it until such time as the Bermuda Proceedings have been resolved as against the El Prela Trustee. The letter sought confirmation of Mr Picard's position, in particular whether Mr Picard would oppose an order that the El Prela Trustee be entitled to be indemnified for its costs of defending the Third Amended Complaint out of the assets currently held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust. It also raised the prospect of the El Prela Trustee applying for directions with respect to the English Claim, which had not at that time been served on it.
- On 23 August 2011 Baker & Hostetler LLP wrote to LG confirming that Mr Picard would need to have sight of the application and take advice from local counsel in order to respond substantively. If necessary, a supplemental affidavit will be sworn in advance of the hearing of the application in order to update the BVI Court as to the current position with regard to Mr Picard.

The adult beneficiaries under the Trust Deed

- Before making an application of the kind sought now before the Court, the El Prela Trustee would normally be expected to have consulted with each of the adult beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust to ascertain their views on the directions sought. Besides Mr Ceretti and his wife, the only other adult beneficiary named in the Trust Deed is Alessandro Ceretti, who the El Prela Trustee understands to have reached the age of majority in October 2011. The El Prela Trustee has had no previous contact with Mrs Ceretti or Alessandro Ceretti, despite the fact that the letter of wishes from Mr Ceretti dated 23 August 1994 (page 156) asked the El Prela Trustee to consider Mr Ceretti and Mrs Ceretti as joint principal beneficiaries during their lifetimes.
- Accordingly, in the context of the Bermuda Directions Applications, LG wrote to Mrs Ceretti on 20 May 2011 seeking her representations, to which she responded that she had had no involvement with the matters that were the subject of LG's letter and expressing the wish that her husband should deal with such matters on her behalf (page 824).
- Both the Third Amended Complaint and the English Claim include competing claims to the assets of the El Prela Trust (in respect of the former, by virtue of the avoidance and the Common Law Claims and in respect of the latter, by virtue of the constructive trust claims contained therein). If Mr Picard is successful in these claims, it will follow that the entire assets currently held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust would be held on trust for him (for distribution in the BLMIS estate). In those circumstances, the express beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust would have no further interest of any value in the El Prela Trust itself. This fact has led the El Prela Trustee to conclude that the interests of Mrs Ceretti and Alessandro Ceretti are absolutely aligned with those of Mr Ceretti.
- By a letter dated 6 September 2011, Stephenson Harwood confirmed that Mr Ceretti is willing to consent to an application that he be appointed to represent the interests of Mrs Ceretti and Alessandro Ceretti for the purpose of this application (page 825).
- Accordingly, as was the case in the Bermuda Directions Applications, the El Prela Trustee considers it appropriate for Mr Ceretti to represent the interests of Mrs Ceretti in connection with the present application. It also considers it

appropriate for Mr Ceretti to represent the interests of Alessandro Ceretti. The El Prela Trustee intends to serve a copy of its application on Mr Ceretti once issued in order that he can respond substantively if he wishes to do so.

Minor, unborn and unascertained beneficiaries

- Given the significance to the beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust of both of the Picard Claims and the present application, the El Prela Trustee has concluded that it is appropriate that the interests of the minor, unborn and/or unascertained beneficiaries should be separately represented. The El Prela Trustee has previously identified Mr Imrie, a partner in the Cayman Islands office of Maples and Calder, who is admitted as a solicitor advocate in the BVI, as an appropriate person to represent the interests of those parties, he having previously been appointed to this role in connection with the Bermuda Directions Applications.
- Accordingly, on 5 September 2011, LG wrote to Mr Imrie on behalf of the El Prela Trustee, asking for confirmation whether or not he would consent to act in that capacity for the purpose of this application (page 826) (the enclosures to that letter are not exhibited to this Affidavit, since they consisted of documents which are already exhibited hereto).
- Mr Imrie responded by letter dated 11 October 2011 confirming his willingness to act as representative. The El Prela Trustee considers it appropriate for Mr Imrie to be appointed as representative of the interests of the minor, unborn and unascertained beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust and seeks an order that he be appointed as such for the purposes of the present application. The El Prela Trustee intends to serve a copy of its application on Mr Imrie once issued in order that he can respond substantively if he wishes to do so.

The charitable class

The Trust Deed also provides for a further class of discretionary beneficiary, being "any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable" (the "Charitable Class") For the reasons explained above, the El Prela Trustee has concluded that the interests of this Charitable Class are also aligned with those of Mr Ceretti, Mrs Ceretti and Alessandro Ceretti.

In the circumstances, the El Prela Trustee considers it appropriate for the Attorney General of the BVI (the "Attorney General") to be appointed as representative of the Charitable Class and seeks an order that he be appointed as such for the purposes of the present application. The El Prela Trustee intends to serve a copy of its application on the Attorney General once issued in order that he can respond substantively if he wishes to do so.

Mr Tacon

Given the existence of the Bermuda Proceedings and the directions previously obtained from the BVI Court in relation to them, in addition to canvassing the views of the express beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust and Mr Picard, the El Prela Trustee considers it appropriate that Mr Tacon be joined to the present application. It intends to serve a copy of its application on Mr Tacon once issued in order that he can respond substantively if he wishes to do so.

THE DIRECTIONS SOUGHT

- Taking account of the facts and matters explained above and in the Confidential Affidavit, the El Prela Trustee seeks the following directions:
 - 166.1 That Mr Ceretti is appointed and shall act in these proceedings as representative of the adult beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust and that his reasonable costs incurred in connection with this application be paid from the assets currently held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust;
 - 166.2 That Mr Imrie is appointed and shall act in these proceedings as representative of the interests of the minor, unborn and/or unascertained beneficiaries of the El Prela Trust and that his reasonable costs incurred in connection with this application be paid from the assets currently held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust;
 - 166.3 That the El Prela Trustee shall be indemnified from the assets currently held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust for its costs of making this application;
 - 166.4 In respect of the Third Amended Complaint, that the El Prela Trustee shall be indemnified from the assets currently held on the trusts of the El

Prela Trust for its costs of making the motions to withdraw the reference and motions to dismiss articulated in the Confidential Affidavit, including costs arising from any adverse costs orders made against it in pursuance of those motions; and

166.5 In respect of the English Claim, that the El Prela Trustee shall be indemnified from the assets currently held on the trusts of the El Prela Trust for its costs of obtaining advice in relation to the English Claim, considering that advice and taking such steps as appropriate in light of that advice, including costs arising from any adverse costs orders made against it in pursuance of those steps.

COSTS

Costs of steps already taken by the El Prela Trustee

The Third Amended Complaint

167. Since 8 June 2011 (being the date on which the El Prela Trustee first had sight of the Third Amended Complaint) the El Prela Trustee has incurred legal fees and disbursements in connection with those proceedings, including in analysing and preparing to respond to the claims advanced, taking appropriate advice, and preparing for this application. Those fees and disbursements to the period ending 28 October 2011, at the exchange rate prevailing at 15 November 2011, equate to US\$571,008.04. They are made up as follows:

167.1 LG's fees: £131,389.50;

167.2 US Counsel's fees: US\$318,063.91;

167.3 English Counsel's fees: £14,275.00;

167.4 Other disbursements: £13,994.23.

The English Claim

168. Since 9 August 2011 (being the date on which the El Prela Trustee first had sight of the English Claim) the El Prela Trustee has incurred legal fees and disbursements in connection with those proceedings, including in analysing the

claims advanced, taking appropriate advice, and preparing for this application. Those fees and disbursements to the period ending 28 October 2011 total £39,955.20, which at the exchange rate prevailing at 15 November 2011 equates to US\$63,300.22. They are made up as follows:

- 168.1 LG's fees: £25,395.74;
- 168.2 English Counsel's fees: £14,137.50;
- 168.3 Other disbursements: £421.96.
- In addition to the legal fees and disbursements referred to above, during the period 8 June 2011 to 28 October 2011, the El Prela Trustee has also incurred other legal fees and disbursements, relating neither to this application nor the Picard Claims. Those unrelated costs are not relevant to this application and the El Prela Trustee does not seek an indemnity in respect of them in this application.
- 170. Further information as to the costs of steps already taken by the El Prela Trustee is provided in the Confidential Affidavit. It is anticipated that a supplemental affidavit will be sworn in advance of the hearing of this application in order to update the Court as to the current costs position.

Estimate of costs of future steps to be taken by the El Prela Trustee

- 171. LG and Freshfields have prepared estimates of the likely future costs of complying with the directions sought in this application. Those estimates are based on a number of assumptions, which are set out in the estimates themselves, including the assumption that the costs will be borne equally by the El Prela Trustee and the Ashby Trustee.
- 172. A copy of those estimates of costs with respect to the Third Amended Complaint are at pages 829 to 831. The grand total at the exchange rate prevailing at 15 November 2011, including both estimated fees and disbursements, is:
 - 172.1 US\$452,463.46 to US\$677,563.46 assuming a full scale fact review will not need to be undertaken prior to making the motions to withdraw the reference and motions to dismiss articulated in the Confidential Affidavit; and

08-01789-cgm Doc 6433-11 Filed 04/23/14 Entered 04/23/14 19:12:50 Exhibit B - Part 5 Pg 20 of 22

- 172.2 US\$816,741.64 to US\$2,344,216.64 assuming that that exercise will be necessary.
- 173. In relation to the English Claim, an estimate of costs has not yet been prepared for the purposes of this application. However, it is anticipated that a supplemental affidavit will be sworn in advance of the hearing of this application to provide relevant information to the Court.

CONCLUSION

174. In all the circumstances, the El Prela Trustee respectfully applies for an order in the form of the draft accompanying its application papers.

SWORN by the above-named FRANK OLIVER WALTERS

at Monuco

this 6 day of Navender 2017

Before me

Solicitor/Commissioner for Oaths

MARK LUSHER
24, Boulevard Princesse Charlone
MC 98000 MONACO
SOLICITOR

Schedule 1 - Definitions

"Acknowledgment Date"	The date for which the time for the El Prela Trustee to file
Acknowledgment bate	an acknowledgment of service in respect of the English
	Claim has been extended, by agreement (being the later
	of 14 November 2011 or the date falling seven days prior
	to the hearing of Mr Picard's stay application in respect of
	the English Claim)
"Alpine Trustees"	Alpine Trustees Limited
"Ashby Holding Company"	Ashby Holding Services Limited
"Ashby Investment Company"	Ashby Investment Services Limited
"Ashby Trustee"	First Peninsula Trustees Limited as trustee of the Ashby
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Trust
"Attorney General"	The Attorney General of the BVI
"Bank of Bermuda"	Bank of Bermuda Limited (an indirect 100% subsidiary of
	HSBC Holdings Plc)
"Bankruptcy Court"	United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District
	of New York
"Bermuda Directions Applications"	The Trustees' application for directions to the BVI Court in
	respect of the Bermuda Proceedings
"Bermuda Proceedings"	Proceedings issued in the Supreme Court of Bermuda by
	Mr Tacon in his capacity as the Joint Liquidator of the
	Kingate Funds on 22 December 2010 against (1) KML (2)
	FIM Limited (3) FIM Advisers LLP (4) the Ashby Trustee
	(5) the El Prela Trustee (6) the Ashby Holding Company
	(7) the El Prela Holding Company (8) Mr Grosso (9) Mr
	Ceretti
"BLMIS"	Bernard L Madoff Investment Securities LLC
"BVI Court"	Eastern Caribbean Supreme High Court of Justice British
	Virgin Islands
"BVI"	British Virgin Islands
"BVI" "Charitable Class"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust
	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other
	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which
"Charitable Class"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable
	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere
"Charitable Class"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services
"Charitable Class" "Citi"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds
"Charitable Class"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United
"Charitable Class" "Citi"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18
"Charitable Class" "Citi"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB)
"Charitable Class" "Citi"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 and contained at Appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 and contained at Appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third Report to Creditors and Investors dated 31 July 2009 for
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit" "District Court" "Draft Agreement"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 and contained at Appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third Report to Creditors and Investors dated 31 July 2009 for each of the Kingate Funds
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit" "District Court" "Draft Agreement"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 and contained at Appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third Report to Creditors and Investors dated 31 July 2009 for each of the Kingate Funds El Prela Group Holding Services Limited
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit" "District Court" "Draft Agreement" "El Prela Holding Company" "El Prela Investment Company"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 and contained at Appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third Report to Creditors and Investors dated 31 July 2009 for each of the Kingate Funds El Prela Group Holding Services Limited El Prela Trading Investments Limited
"Charitable Class" "Citi" "Class Action" "Common Law Claims" "Confidential Affidavit" "District Court" "Draft Agreement"	A class of discretionary beneficiary named in the Trust Deed being any trust association body or other organisation in any part of the world the objects of which are charitable Citi Hedge Fund Services Ltd (formerly Hemisphere Management Limited and BISYS Hedge Fund Services Ltd), the administrator of the Kingate Funds Consolidated class action complaint filed in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York on 18 May 2010 and entitled In re Kingate Management Limited Litigation, with Master File No.09 Civ 5386 (BAB) Claims in unjust enrichment, conversion and money had and received asserted in the Third Amended Complaint Second affidavit of Frank Oliver Walters in support of the present application concerning facts and matters which are privileged and/or confidential United States District Court for the Southern District of New York Draft settlement agreement between Mr Tacon and Mr Picard considered by the BVI Court on 16 December 2009 and contained at Appendix B to Mr Tacon's Third Report to Creditors and Investors dated 31 July 2009 for each of the Kingate Funds El Prela Group Holding Services Limited

08-01789-cgm Doc 6433-11 Filed 04/23/14 Entered 04/23/14 19:12:50 Exhibit B - Part 5 Pg 22 of 22

	Forder of State of St
	England and Wales, Queens Bench Division, Commercial
	Court on 10 December 2010 with Claim Number 2010
	Folio 1478 against the Ashby Trustee and eleven others
"Euro Management Agreement"	Agreement made between Kingate Euro and KML dated 1 May 2000
"Extension Request"	The request by KML to the Holding Companies in or
	around June 2010 for a further loan in the sum of
	US\$1,200,000
"Fault Based Claims"	Fault based claims made in the Bermuda Proceedings against KML, FIM, Mr Grosso and Mr Ceretti
"Fifth Creditors' Reports"	Mr Tacon's Fifth Report to Creditors and Investors dated
	27 January 2011 for each of the Kingate Funds
"FIM"	FIM Advisers LLP and FIM Limited taken together
"FIM Funds"	FIM funds of funds
"Freezing Order"	Order of the Monaco Court for the immediate attachment
•	of assets held in a number of accounts in the name of the
	Ashby Trustee, the Ashby Holding Company, the Ashby
	Investment Company and certain HSBC Monaco
	Accounts connected to the El Prela Trust and Mr Ceretti
"Freshfields"	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP
"Global Management Agreement"	Agreement made between Kingate Global and KML
ologa managomoner (groomone	dated 1 January 2006 (superseding and replacing
	previous management agreements between those parties
	dating back to 1994)
"Holding Companies"	The Ashby Holding Company and the El Prela Holding
	Company taken together
"HNL"	Hamilton Nominees Limited
"HSBC Monaco"	HSBC Private Bank (Monaco) SA
"Initial Transfer Claims"	Alleged avoidable and recoverable transfers made from
Illina Italisiei Ciallis	BLMIS to the Kingate Funds asserted in the Third
	Amended Complaint
"Investment Companies"	The Ashby Investment Company and the El Prela
May codes and Objectives!	Investment Company taken together The investment objectives defined by the Ashby Trustee
"Investment Objectives"	for the investment of the assets of the Ashby Trust
"Kingate B"	The class of shares called Kingate Global Fund - Class B
	Shares, created by Kingate Global at the end of February 1995
"Kingate DM"	The class of shares called Kingate Global Fund - Class
Tilligate Divi	DM Shares, created by Kingate Global on 1 January
	1996
"Kingate Euro"	Kingate Euro Fund Limited (in liquidation)
"Kingate Funds"	Kingate Global and Kingate Euro taken together
"Kingate Global"	Kingate Global Fund Limited (in liquidation)
"KML"	Kingate Management Limited
"LG"	Lawrence Graham LLP, the Trustees' English Solicitors
	The agreement dated 16 July 2009 between the Holding
"Loan Agreement"	Companies (as lenders) and KML (as borrower) in
III oon Assows satell	respect of a term loan facility of up to US\$1,200,000
"Loan Agreements"	The Loan Agreement and the Supplemental Loan
III and food of all	Agreement taken together
"Lombard Odier"	Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie in Geneva,
	Switzerland
"Madoff"	Mr Bernard Madoff, principal of BLMIS
"Management Agreements"	The Global Management Agreement and the Euro
	Management Agreement taken together
"Monaco Affidavits"	Taken together, the Witness Statement of Andrew Witts
	dated 2 March 2009 and Exhibit "AW1"; the Affidavit of
	Mr Grosso dated 27 February 2009; the Affidavit of Peter